

# The New Plan for North Dorset

## Comments Form

We welcome people from across the District to 'have their say' on the New Plan for North Dorset from points of principle on the general approach to detailed comments on the wording of specific sections of text.

The structure of the form follows the themes of the plan with policies grouped into sections. Your comments on the vision, objectives, core policies and development management policies together with the implementation and monitoring framework will help us shape the future growth of the District. However, when making your comments any observations on the following issues would be of particular interest:

- Do you have any reasonable alternatives to the options considered for each policy?
- Are you aware of any other information or evidence which the Council should take into consideration?
- Do you have any observations with regard to delivering the plan, including any issues about infrastructure that might arise?

If you are completing this form electronically feel free to expand the space given. If you are completing a paper copy feel free to attach separate comments but please state clearly the policies your comments refer to. For help in completing this form, please see a member of the Planning Policy Team at the exhibition or call the Planning Policy Team on 01258 484201.

Please post your completed form in the collection box at the exhibition or send it, by **30 April 2010**, to Planning Policy, North Dorset District Council, Nordon, Salisbury Road, Blandford Forum DT11 7LL or e-mail a copy to [planningpolicy@north-dorset.gov.uk](mailto:planningpolicy@north-dorset.gov.uk)

*Please note that all comments will be made available to the public after the consultation period.*

Personal Details		Agent Details (if applicable)	
Title	Mr	Title	
First Name	John	First Name	
Last Name	Gill	Last Name	
Job Title*	Clerk to the Council	Job Title	
Organisation	Child Okeford Parish Council	Organisation	
Address	Bourneside, Clenston Road, Winterborne Stickland, Blandford Forum, Dorset	Address	
Postcode	DT11 0NP	Postcode	
Telephone	01258 881240	Telephone	
E-mail	childokeford@dorsetparishes.gov.uk	E-mail	

\* For Personal Details only enter job title if responding on behalf of a group or organisation

## Vision and Objectives

Do you agree with the **vision** for the District?

Yes  No  Please explain:

Paragraphs:-2.1.7-2.1.14. The Local Community Visions are generic and very general. They are largely town based e.g. **Ease of Movement** (Sturminster Newton etc.) with lip service to rural areas. Statements made about rural areas e.g. **Environment** (Sturminster Newton etc.) are made without consultation with or approval from rural Parish Councils.

Paragraph 2.1.12:- Reference 60 The Sturminster Newton Action Plan is only valid for the town as it did not involve the villages in its conception.

Paragraph:- 2.1.15. The local vision for Sturminster Newton does not relate to the rural hinterland or represent the views of the villages which were not consulted on its composition. A Core Strategy for development across the rural areas based on these visions cannot be valid as it has not involved consultation with the local communities. The local authority forcing these policies without the involvement of and proper consultation with the local communities is both undemocratic and in breach of the human rights of the communities.

Do you agree with the **objectives** for the District?

Yes  No  Please explain:

Although the majority of the objectives are commendable, focusing the remaining Development Policy C Settlements in Stalbridge and the larger villages, Objective 2 b) contradicts Objective 3 as does Objective 4 a).

The Figure 2.2.1 (Part 2) sets out the issues and assumes NDDC has the answers without regard to the views of the residents of the district as if The Plan is a *fait accompli*.

## Core Policies

Do you agree with the core policies that make up the **Sustainable Development Strategy**?

Core Policy 1 – Tackling Climate Change .....	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
Core Policy 2 – Delivering Sustainable Forms of Development .....	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Core Policy 3 – Core Spatial Strategy for North Dorset .....	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Please explain and quote policy number:

### Core Policy 2

Paragraphs 2.3.22-2.3.25:- These policies are an aspiration and have little chance of being achieved. Given the demographic spread and rural nature of the community the majority of people will not abandon the car for walking or the cycle.

Paragraph 2.3.28:- This is confused, The Housing Topic Paper making the case for only 35% of future development to be on brownfield land manipulates the figures by excluding higher percentages for brownfield development from the yearly table and also removing large allocated sites. It also states that the SHLAA only identified enough brownfield land for the development of 1500 dwellings. However the SHLAA also identified land available for 8500 dwellings in Blandford, Gillingham and Shaftesbury are 7000 of these on greenfield land?

---

### Core Policy 3

Paragraph 2.3.41:- States that 'small towns and villages should accommodate some small-scale development, including housing to meet **identified local needs to** "to support their roles as local hubs for community facilities and services, including public transport". This implies that a survey of the facilities to support the local community must be undertaken before any further development can proceed. In Child Okeford the important services of a school and a surgery are at capacity and having difficulty meeting current demand. Furthermore the government criterion of available employment does not appear on the requirements for a NDDC Development Policy C Settlement. The reason for this omission is not explained.

Paragraph 2.3.42:- It is extremely unlikely that the services in the Development Policy C Settlement villages can be expanded to meet further large-scale development.

Paragraph 2.3.48 :- The Topic Paper : Spatial Strategy for North Dorset quoted as a reference to the definition of Development Policy C Settlements only uses population levels to classify those villages that come within this category. This single criterion has been used by NDDC as their main reason for classification without proper regard to the state of the services, facilities and most importantly employment opportunities.

Paragraph 2.3.49:- Local Development Framework, Core Strategy: Issues and Alternative Options, Consultation 1June-13 July 2007 quoted in reference 97 states that 'Development Policy Settlements should attract "small amounts of development" . The supporting document Assessment of Settlements Based on Population and Community Facilities also emphasises '*small amounts of development*'. It also has a different definition of Development Policy C Settlements emphasising that development will be appropriate where it 'supports small-scale economic activity which fits the scale of settlement, extends the range of services, does not significantly increase traffic on local roads etc. and most importantly where housing development is necessary to support employment provision locally'.

Nowhere do these documents state that small scale development in larger villages will of the order of 60 dwellings per village or in the case of Child Okeford 103. Therefore the questions asked and the information given in that consultation differs from the interpretation given by NDDC in The New Plan for North Dorset.

Paragraph 2.3.50:- Changes of the settlement boundaries should not be considered without extensive consultation with the local communities and in particular all land owners. Arbitrary changes could be damaging to local farms and it should be remembered rural Dorset is still an agricultural area. All considered changes should be published at this stage. Stating that a future Site Allocations DPD will be used as an instrument separate from this Plan to enforce a policy that has not been approved is unconstitutional. It is hiding the proposed changes in order to try to gain approval for the Plan knowing that this measure would be rejected by the larger villages.

An internet search showed that other authorities drafting their Local Framework that put the question of altering or removing of settlement boundaries to their communities in a constitutionally correct referendum had it emphatically rejected.

Paragraph 2.3.51:- The removal of settlement boundaries from the remainder of smaller villages and the restriction of development will be detrimental to the viability of those communities. Any services they might have, shop or public house, are unlikely to survive. To blanket all these communities under one policy without asking the individual villages what they think is naïve, bureaucratic and unconstitutional. If this policy is to try to limit car use from smaller villages it will not work as the housing proposed for the larger villages will result in greater car use due to the lack of employment opportunities, hospitals, supermarkets etc. in their vicinity.

Option 3(4) should be adopted.

---

Do you agree with the **Managing Growth** core policies?

Core Policy 4 – Housing (including Affordable Housing).....	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Core Policy 5 – Managing Housing Land Supply .....	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Core Policy 6 – Economy .....	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Core Policy 7 – Retail and Other Town Centre Uses .....	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>

Please explain and quote policy number:

Core Policy 4

Paragraph 2.4.12:- This policy is unacceptable for the reasons laid out above in comments on paragraphs 2.3.41, 2.3.42, 2.3.48, 2.3.49 and 2.3.50.

Paragraph 2.4.13:- This policy is unacceptable for the reasons laid out above in comments on paragraph 2.2.51.

Paragraph 2.4.14:- There is no explanation of the totals proposed in Tables 2.4.1. The SHLAA identified that the land available for potential development would support 2,500 dwellings in Blandford, 4,700 dwellings in Gillingham, 1,300 dwellings in Shaftesbury, 500 in Sturminster Newton and 4,000 in Stalbridge and larger villages. A total of 13,000, an excess of 6000 over the required amount. These figures do not include windfall development that, along with poor development control, has resulted in significant oversupply of housing development in North Dorset in the recent past particularly in the rural areas. Why cannot NDDC ask each town and village how much they would actually like before enforcing policies on the community that could ruin the viable development in the towns, the rural nature of some villages and the viability of smaller villages?

Options 4(1)b and 4(2)a should be adopted.

Core Policy 5

Paragraph 2.4.19:- Trying to get agreement for this Plan without identifying specific development sites by delaying the Site Allocations DPD is an underhand device to gain NDDC the mandate to enforce its policies disregarding the community views. It is surprising that the Councillors, as the elected representatives of the community, are allowing the officers to act in this way.

Paragraphs 2.4.25-2.4.29:- Has a proper survey been done to ascertain the actual amount of Previously Developed Land? The target of 35% is too low. The priority should be to use Previously Developed Land first, then land within settlement boundaries and then, only if necessary, consideration should be given to development of greenfield sites near centres of employment that would not spoil the countryside or be detrimental to agriculture.

Options 5(1)b and 5(2)c should be adopted in line with the findings referenced in Topic Paper: Housing Version 1.

Core Policy 6

Paragraph 2.4.33:- Bullet 3 is largely unachievable. Businesses in the rural areas are largely closing down rather than new ones starting.

Paragraphs 2.4.34-2.4.33:- The housing figures do not equate to the employment figures. If 7000 dwellings are built at best 2000 will go to incoming retirees. That leaves 5000 dwellings with say a minimum of 11/2 workers in each dwelling requiring employment. A total of 7500 jobs, 2800 more than the planned increase.

Paragraph 2.4.50:- Forcing development in rural areas before local employment opportunities are identified will not work. NDDC will not be able to force rural enterprise in the countryside. Yet again using the ploy of identifying sites in the future Site Allocations DPD to cover up insufficiencies in the policy is an unacceptable subterfuge.

Paragraph 2.4.55:- Tourism will be harmed by overdevelopment in rural areas.

Options 6(1)c, 6(2)a and 6(3)a should be adopted.

Do you agree with the **Housing Needs** core policies?

Core Policy 8 – Housing Mix, Type and Density ..... Yes  No   
Core Policy 9 – Affordable Housing ..... Yes  No   
Core Policy 10 – Affordable Housing: Rural Exception Schemes ..... Yes  No

Please explain and quote policy number:

Core Policy 8

Paragraphs 2.5.4-2.5.8:- The balanced Housing Market Assessment Target is 44% affordable housing. Why is only 37.5% proposed in North Dorset (see comments on Paragraphs 2.4.25-2.4.29 above). The most affordable units are 2-3 bedroom dwellings. Plans seem to be rather confused.

Paragraph 2.5.19:- Housing densities of 30-35 dwellings per hectare are too high for rural areas.

Decline all Options

Core Policy 9

Paragraph 2.5.31:- This is a dangerous caveat and should not be regarded by NDDC. It is a developers problem and they should abide to the guidelines or be refused permission.

Options 9(1)c and 9(2)c should be adopted.

Core policy 10

Paragraph 2.5.42:- Why will most Rural Exception Schemes be around the larger villages. There is likely to be a greater need in smaller villages particularly if settlement boundaries are removed.

Paragraph 2.5.48:- The majority of available development sites in Development Policy C Settlements should be considered as Exception Sites and reserved for affordable housing particularly where sites are limited as in Child Okeford.

Option 10(1)a should be adopted. Decline Option 10(1)c.

Do you agree with the **Infrastructure** core policies?

Core Policy 11 – Grey Infrastructure ..... Yes  No   
Core Policy 12 – Social Infrastructure ..... Yes  No   
Core Policy 13 – Green Infrastructure ..... Yes  No

Please explain and quote policy number:

Core Policy 11

Paragraphs 2.6.6-2.6.15:- The Transport section is mainly an aspiration and largely unachievable. The elements laid out in Paragraph 2.6.6 do not support the flawed Core Policy 3 in the larger villages.

Paragraphs 2.6.16-2.6.19:- The Parking strategy is misconceived and will make travel more difficult for people in rural areas.

Paragraphs 2.6.23-2.6.25:- These policies are acceptable for towns but have little chance of being achieved in rural areas. Given the demographic spread and rural nature of the community the majority of people will not abandon the car for walking or the cycle.

Options 11(2) should be adopted.

---

Core Policy 12

Paragraph 2.3.36:- Again given the demographic spread in the district a great number of residents will not be able to give up the car for walking and cycling.

Paragraph 2.6.40:- Who will pay for these improvements to village facilities? Child Okeford has been trying to get enough funds to finance a small village hall extension for several years.

Paragraphs 2.6.43-2.6.44:- These policies along with the Housing Distribution plans will be a further blow to the viability of smaller villages.

Paragraph 2.6.49:- The village schools are already oversubscribed, where is the plan to tackle this post-development?

Option 12(1)b should be adopted.

Core Policy 13

Paragraph 2.6.58:- Amenity green space in villages is being used for infill development.

Paragraph 2.6.65:- Green space in villages should be conserved for wild life and people not earmarked for development.

Option 13(1)b should be adopted.

---

Do you agree with the **Environment** core policies?

Core Policy 14 – Protecting and Enhancing the Environment ..... Yes  No

Please explain and quote policy number:

Paragraph 2.7.8:- This policy needs to be enforced.

Paragraph 2.7.10:- Local communities should be consulted and their views respected on all such proposals.

Paragraph 2.7.13:- The Reclaiming of our Rural Roads project needs to be enforced.

Paragraph 2.7.20:- The protection of **all** buildings from harmful development needs to be enforced.

---

Do you agree with the core policies for each of the **Market Towns and the Rural Areas**?

Core Policy 15 – Blandford .....	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
Core Policy 16 – Gillingham .....	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
Core Policy 17 – Shaftesbury .....	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
Core Policy 18 – Sturminster Newton .....	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
Core Policy 19 – Stalbridge and the larger Villages .....	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Core Policy 20 – The Countryside (Including Smaller Villages).....	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Please explain and quote policy number:

Core Policies 15-18

These Policies appear to acceptable, however Child Okeford Parish Council does not feel qualified to comment on specific points that affect the towns, much as townspeople should not proscribe policies for the rural villages.

---

---

## Core Policy 19

Paragraph 2.8.113:- This policy would kill smaller villages and urbanise larger villages whose services would not be able to cope.

Paragraphs 2.8.115-2.8.116:- This strategy will not work, it will overcrowd and urbanise the villages, there is little employment nor will it be attracted, the services and infrastructure are at capacity and the cost of developing them would be prohibitive for the perceived gain.

Paragraph 2.8.117:- What is local community strategy? Where has it been developed and why have Parish Councils not seen it? Stating that a future Site Allocations DPD will be used as an instrument separate from this Plan to enforce a policy that has not been approved is unconstitutional. It is hiding the proposed changes in order to try to gain approval for the Plan knowing that this measure would be rejected by the larger villages. This is undemocratic enforcement of an unacceptable policy.

Paragraph 2.8.118:- The figure in the Topic Paper Spatial Strategy for North Dorset and in Figure 1.2.7 (P18) is generic and gives no detailed information of the proximity of the Development Policy C Settlements to services or the capacity of the services to cope with development.

Paragraph 2.8.120:- This is a bureaucratic top-down imposition on local people. Which authority considers 1,200 dwellings at Stalbridge and the larger villages is appropriate? Where is the rationale? Where is the plan for consultation with the local communities? Who will decide where additional development will be needed? Again using an unpublished Site Allocations Plan is tantamount to deceit.

Paragraph 2.8.121:- There is very little employment in the villages and little chance of significantly increasing it. The majority of people in rural areas have to travel to employment by car. Therefore development will either be for retired people, second homes or create greater car use and all the consequences for the environment that involves. Employment within the close vicinity of new development is top of the government list of services required for Development Policy C Settlements but appears to have been dropped by NDDC because it is too difficult to fulfil.

Paragraph 2.8.124:- The only effective way to manage the demand for travel is to ensure that development is close to employment and major services i.e. the towns.

Paragraph 2.8.125:- 7 of the Development Policy C Settlements are not on main roads. All attempts to improve public transport to these areas have failed as it is not commercially viable to provide a satisfactory service. Further burdens would potentially harm and overload the existing voluntary schemes.

Paragraph 2.8.127:- Walking and cycling between villages and villages and towns is not feasible for elderly and working people.

Paragraphs 2.8.128-2.8.130:- Many villages are struggling to keep their social infrastructure viable. Where is the money coming from to enhance village halls, play areas, youth clubs etc.? NDDC does not have the power to control closure of shops, post offices or public houses etc.. Development Management Policy 7 is neither helpful nor relevant to these problems.

Paragraph 2.8.132. Changes to the settlement boundaries should not be considered without extensive consultation with the local communities and in particular all land owners. Arbitrary changes could be damaging to local farmers, rural Dorset is still an agricultural area. All considered changes should be published at this stage. Stating that a future Site Allocations DPD will be used as an instrument separate from this Plan to enforce a policy that has not been approved is unconstitutional. It is hiding the proposed changes in order to try to gain approval for the Plan knowing that this measure would be rejected by the larger villages.

Option 19(1)a should be adopted.

---

---

## Core Policy 20

Paragraphs 2.8.133-2.8.140:- The removal of settlement boundaries from the remainder of smaller villages and the restriction of development will be detrimental to the viability of those communities. Any services they might have, shop or public house, are unlikely to survive. To blanket all these communities under one policy without asking the individual villages what they think is bureaucratic and unconstitutional. If this policy is to try to limit car use from smaller villages it will not work as the housing proposed for the larger villages will result in greater car use due to the lack of employment opportunities, hospitals, supermarkets etc.

---

## Development Management Policies

Do you agree with the development management policies that support the **Sustainable Development Strategy**?

DM Policy 1 – Renewable Energy .....	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
DM Policy 2 – Transport Assessments, Travel Plans & Parking .....	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
DM Policy 3 – Design .....	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
DM Policy 4 – Amenity .....	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>

Please explain and quote policy number:

### DM Policy 1

Paragraphs 3.2.2-3.2.10:- These policies are confused and need refining.

### DM Policy 2

Paragraphs 3.2.13 and 3.2.15-3.2.19:- Much of the transport policy will not work. To create new development in areas without employment and with inadequate public transport will create a significant increase in car use on minor roads which would be hazardous for road safety and would have a detrimental effect on the carbon footprint and the environment.

More car use will mean the need for more parking spaces for employment and the shops etc. not less.

Elderly people, who make up a very high proportion of the population, particularly in the rural areas will not walk or cycle to get around.

---

Do you agree with the development management policies that relate to **Growth, Housing, Infrastructure and Environment**?

DM Policy 5 – Tourist Accommodation .....	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
DM Policy 6 – Sites for Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople .....	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
DM Policy 7 – Retention of Community Facilities .....	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
DM Policy 8 – Open Space .....	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
DM Policy 9 – Trees, Hedgerows and Landscape Design .....	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>

Please explain and quote policy number:

### DM Policy 6

Paragraph 3.3.15:- Provision of Travellers sites in the countryside or on the edges of villages puts an unacceptable strain on the integrity of the countryside, wildlife, services, amenities and residents.

### DM Policy 8

Paragraph 3.3.28:- This excludes undeveloped natural space within settlement boundaries in rural villages which should be included.

---

Do you agree with the development management policies that relate to the **Countryside**?

DM Policy 10 – Existing Dwellings in the Countryside .....	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
DM Policy 11 – The Re-use of Existing Buildings in the Countryside .....	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
DM Policy 12 – Existing Employment Sites in the Countryside .....	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
DM Policy 13 – Equine-related Developments in the Countryside .....	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>

Please explain and quote policy number:

## Delivering and Monitoring

Do you have any comments on the **Delivery and Monitoring Framework**?

Please explain:

The comments on the Objectives, Core Policies and Development Management Policies and Implementation and Monitoring Framework listed above also apply to Figures 4.2.1,4.3.1, and 4.5.1

If completing this comments form at an exhibition:

- How did you hear about our consultation exercise? .....
- Were documents and exhibition materials easy to understand? .....
- Were the officers able to answer questions clearly? .....

Other questions:

- Were documents easy to access via libraries/council offices/website? .....
- Any other comments or suggestions for the next round of consultation?

Make it meaningful. Do not try to enforce a 'one size fits all' policy. Time must be taken to look at the position of each individual community and negotiate a course of action that is appropriate for each one that will satisfy NDDC planning requirements and the local communities' views and aspirations.. .....

## What happens next?

The plan will be amended to reflect the comments received as part of this consultation exercise and a revised plan will be prepared for submission to the Secretary of State. You will have a second opportunity to comment on the plan before it is submitted. The comments at this stage will be considered by an independent Inspector, who will undertake a public examination into the issues of legal compliance and 'soundness'.

**Thank you** for taking part in this consultation. To keep track of progress please keep looking at our website [www.north-dorset.gov.uk/index/living/building\\_planning/planning\\_policy](http://www.north-dorset.gov.uk/index/living/building_planning/planning_policy) for updates.

---

## Do you have any further comments on the **New Plan**?

---

Please remember to let us know which section you are referring to.

Child Okeford Parish Council acknowledges the need for a Local Development Framework and realises that it is essential for planning the future for the District. COPC applauds the amount of work that has gone into preparing this document. It is well written, comprehensive and easy to understand except for a few minor sections that are confused. There is however much repetition which means that many of the comments that COPC has made are also repeated

The problem is that the whole process has been rushed through consequently not allowing enough time for adequate consultation with the community. Where consultation has taken place there seems to be little evidence that enough weight has been given to comments and suggestions from the community.

This gives the impression that the policies were determined from the start and NDDC are intent on pushing them through regardless. There is not enough consideration given to individual circumstances and NDDC has fallen into the common trait of authority that 'one size fits all'. There is scope for discussion with individual or sets of like communities where targets could be set to satisfy both the NDDC's requirements and community aspirations.

All the comments on the policies above refer directly to the situation in Child Okeford but are written in the most part generically since, to the best of our knowledge, they apply to similar communities.

In Child Okeford approximately 360 of the 480 dwellings within the settlement boundary have been built since the end of the 2<sup>nd</sup> world war. This is a 75% increase in the size of the village. This represents a high degree of overdevelopment and leaves very little green space in the village. To suggest a further 20% development, the vast majority on agricultural greenfield land, as being a reasonable policy in the face of total disagreement from the residents is irrational and undemocratic.

---

---

## Equalities Monitoring

---

North Dorset District Council is committed to eliminating discrimination and promoting equal opportunities. There are some demographic questions which we ask in every consultation exercise. We collect this information to build up an accurate understanding of the population so that services and policies can be delivered to meet the needs of everybody. Please feel free to leave questions which you do not wish to answer. All information gathered in the equalities monitoring part of this questionnaire is anonymous and confidential.

---

### Are you:

---

Male  Female

---

### Age:

---

15 or under  16 – 24  25 – 59  60 or over

---

### Do you consider that you have a disability?

---

Yes  No

**If so, is it:**

Hearing impairment  Visual impairment  Physical impairment  
 Speech impairment  Mobility issues  Mental health illness  
 Learning disability  
 Other (*please specify*)

---

### Which of the following best describes your ethnic origin?

---

**White**  British  Irish  
 Gypsy/Romany or Irish Traveller  
 Other European (*please specify*) .....

**Asian or Asian British**  Bangladeshi  Indian  
 Chinese  Pakistani  
 Any other Asian Background (*please specify*) .....

**Black or Black British**  African  Caribbean  
 Any other Black background (*please specify*) .....

**Mixed Ethnic Background**  White and Asian  White and Black African  
 White and Black Caribbean  
 Any other mixed background (*please specify*) .....

**Any other Ethnic Group** (*please specify*) .....

---